

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/800,981	03/16/2004	Yasufumi Tsumagari	249656US2SDIV	1411 -
22850 7.	590 04/22/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BOCCIO, VINCENT F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
·			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	
				į

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A N-	Anntinondo				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Andieus Communication	10/800,981	TSUMAGARI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vincent F. Boccio	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (ind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on P	re-Amendment of 3/16/04.					
<u> </u>						
· <u> </u>	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 32-35 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a light	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apprincity documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No. <u>09/564,538</u> . eceived in this National Stage				
See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies flot re	ociveu.				
AMoch-nov4(c)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intondess Sum	nmanı (PTO 413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/16/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:						

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2616.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 32-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,798,976 in view of Yamauchi et al. (US 6,088,507).

Regarding application claims 32-35 recite all as substantially recited in Patented claims 1-4, but, patented claims recite two additional limitations as identified by the examiner and therefore, the application claims are narrower in scope than the patented claims (details below).

The application claims are narrower in view of:

{A} additionally reciting, wherein the objects including "video or audio data"; and Art Unit: 2616

{B} additionally reciting, wherein the recited management table further includes, "a resume marker information", which the patented claims fails to recite.

The examiner takes official notice that objects, can be in either form, video or audio, as recited, wherein providing entry point to digital material is known to be done with video and even audio material.

The examiner cites Yamauchi, which teaches col. 10, lines 35-48, wherein the DSI being management information of the medium, has return or resume, address destination information which is the starting position of the VOBU, thereby used to resume a reproduction of an application which has been stopped, as taught by Yamauchi.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the patented claims by incorporating claim language, such as wherein the objects are one of audio or video material, as is conventional in the art, wherein a management table can include a return address information, as taught by Yamauchi, allowing for resuming of a reproduction of an application.

Allowance of claims 32-35 of the instant application would result in a time-wise extension of the monopoly previously granted for the invention defined by patent claim(s), therefore, obviousness type double patenting is deemed proper.

Contact Fax Information

Any response to this action should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communication intended for entry)

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record, Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Vincent F. Boccio (571) 272-7373.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 4/18/05

VINCENT BOCCIO
PRIMARY EXAMINER